## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## M.A. No. 549 of 2013 In Original Application No. 01 of 2013

Sarang Yadwadkar & Ors. Vs. Mrs. Anjali Dinkar Datar & Ors.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. G. K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R. C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

**Present:** Applicant: Mr. Asim Sarode, Advocate and Mr. Sarang Yadwadkar

Applicant in person

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Arvind S. Avhad, Mr. Ankur Gupta, Advocate Respondent No. 4: Mr. Mukesh Verma

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item No. 2 August 16,	Having heard the Learned Counsel appearing for the
2013	parties, it is noticed that the Corporation in its affidavit dated
	5th July, 2013 has stated that the land belongs to the
	Respondent No. 1. However, this land falls in the river blue
	line which is a green belt and no construction is permissible
V	on it as it is a submersible area.
	These averments are supported by the joint
M. M.	map/sketch prepared by the Corporation in presence of the
	parties including the Applicant as well as Respondent No. 1.
1	In this map it has been reflected that the blue line has been
	marked as provided by the Irrigation Department.
	Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 1,
	however, submits that subsequent to preparation of this
	map, they have obtained map from the Irrigation Department
	showing the blue line at the place beyond the green belt
	possessed by the Respondent No. 1. Correctness of this map
	is questioned by the Applicant as well.
	However, at this stage, we will rely on the map/sketch
	prepared by the Corporation upon the joint inspection and
	have no reason to disbelieve the affidavit of the Corporation.
	In light of above, we direct the Respondent No. 1 to remove
	all the debris that he had thrown and dumped on the river
	bed and has thus risen the platform by nearly 5 meters.
	These debris which are upon the river bed, green belt
	and/or within the blue line of original blue line of the river

should be removed by the Respondent No. 1 within 4 weeks from today. In the event of default the Corporation shall be empowered to remove the said debris at the cost of Respondent No. 1 and ensure their proper disposal in accordance with law.

We make it clear that the Chief Engineer, Department of Irrigation, Pune shall file a personal affidavit stating what is the original blue line of the river Mutha demarcated as per Govt. Circular dated 21.9.1989 and whether the same has been altered as a result of dumping by the Respondent No. 1. The Commissioner of the Pune Municipal Corporation shall file a personal affidavit stating as to whether any construction including dumping is permissible on the green belt which is either the part of the blue line or is adjacent to the blue line.

We also restrain Respondent No. 1 or any other person in this matter from dumping any debris or waste on the river bed, i.e., the blue line or even the green area of river Mutha.

At this stage the Respondent No. 1 makes a statement that he will neither use land for any purpose nor would dump any debris on the land in question irrespective of the fact that the land belongs to him. He shall not use the land for putting any debris and even use it for parking or for any other purpose till the next date of hearing. The above order for removal of debris shall remain deferred till that date. Let the affidavits of the Commissioner of Corporation as well as Chief Engineer of Irrigation Department be filed with one week from today.

Stand over to 23rd August, 2013.

## M.A. No. 549 of 2013

Rejoinder to this application may be filed before the next date of hearing. Stand over to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2013.

,CP (Swatanter Kumar)
,JM (U.D. Salvi)
,EM (Dr. G.K. Pandey)

